

Presentation for March 1, 2017 SCEC Boardroom Los Angeles, CA

# March 2017 SCEC Rupture Dynamics Code Validation Workshop

Ruth A. Harris (U.S. Geological Survey) Ralph J. Archuleta (UC Santa Barbara)



### INTRODUCTION

#### Welcome!

Thank you very much to Tran for making our workshops happen!

Thank you very much to Michael and Shuo for their work on the benchmark!









#### Plans for this workshop

\*See a quick overview of our group's activities to date

\*Introduce ourselves

\*Learn about exciting research frontiers

\*Meet a newly updated code in our group

\*Examine results from the newest benchmark, TPV35

\*Learn about the Kaikoura earthquake

\*Discuss how our group should move forward with code validation



What our Group Does: We Test Computer Codes Used to Simulate Earthquakes



Please see our website http://scecdata.usc.edu/cvws



#### **Goal of our Code Group**

Compare and validate the computational methods currently used by SCEC and USGS scientists to simulate (spontaneous) earthquake rupture dynamics and the resulting ground motion

#### **Some Specific Objectives**

Understand if our methods are producing the same results when using the same assumptions about friction, crustal structure, fault geometry, etc.

### Funding

This project receives funding from SCEC, the USGS, and PG&E



### Code Comparison Strategy Start simply





# **Code Comparison Benchmarks –** Incrementally add complexity



Slip-weakening friction

Elastic, Viscoplastic

**Slightly Rough Fault** Harris March 2017



### **Code Comparison Strategy**

Incrementally adding complexity: fault roughness, layered velocity structure

Rupture on a **rough** vertical strike-slip fault set in a homogeneous material **elastic/viscoplastic** halfspace, Slip-weakening friction



Rupture on a vertical planar strike-slip fault set in an elastic, **1D discontinuous** and **1D continuous horizontally-layered velocity structure**, Slip-weakening friction



TPV29, 30 Elastic, viscoplastic TPV31, 32 Discontinuous, Continuous

# Winter 2014-2015 BENCHMARKS



# **Code Comparison Strategy**

Incrementally adding complexity: vertically layered velocity structure, CVM-H

Rupture on a vertical planar strike-slip fault set in a 1D **vertically-layered material structure (low-velocity fault zone)**, elastic halfspace, Slip-weakening friction



Rupture on a vertical planar strike-slip fault set in a 3D **CVM-H-ish near Imperial Valley material structure**, elastic halfspace, Slip-weakening friction



TPV33

TPV34

# Winter 2015-2016 BENCHMARKS



### Code Comparison Strategy, Aiming Towards Validation Real Earthquake: 2004 Parkfield M6.0

Rupture on a vertical planar strike-slip fault set in a 3D-ish velocity structure, Elastic, Slip-weakening friction



Ma et al., JGR 2008, Figs. 10, 4

Parkfield BTOP 7

Figure 37. Oblique aerial photograph of the San Andreas fault, town of Parkfield, Stop 7 (marked with red dot), and abundant geomorphic evidence for the presence of an active fault. View is northeastward; photograph taken March 2003. Rymer et al., GSA Field Guide, 2006, Fig. 37

A field guide to the central, creeping section of the San Andreas fault



TPV35

Figure 39 Oblique exist veve of the Star Andress that and Patrifield in independent) location of Stop 7 is at bridge (Patrifield Bindge) in lower right. View is northeastward; photograph takes in 1984 by WH. Baham. Rymer et al., GSA Field Guide, 2006, Fig. 39

Winter 2016-2017 BENCHMARK



#### 2015 Barall Metrics SRL article

Barall, M., and R.A. Harris, <u>Metrics for comparing dynamic earthquake rupture simulations</u>, Seismological Research Letters, vol. 86, 223-235, 2015.

### Our group 2011 SRL article

Harris, R.A., M. Barall, D.J. Andrews, B. Duan, S. Ma, E.M. Dunham,
 A.-A. Gabriel, Y. Kaneko, Y. Kase, B.T. Aagaard, D.D. Oglesby,
 J.-P. Ampuero, T.C. Hanks, and N. Abrahamson,
 Verifying a Computational Method for Predicting Extreme Ground Motion,
 Seismological Research Letters, vol. 82, 638-644, 2011.

#### Our group 2009 SRL article

Harris, R.A., M. Barall, R. Archuleta, B. Aagaard, J.-P. Ampuero, H. Bhat, V. Cruz-Atienza, L. Dalguer, P. Dawson, S. Day,
B. Duan, E. Dunham, G. Ely, Y. Kaneko, Y. Kase, N. Lapusta, Y. Liu,
S. Ma, D. Oglesby, K. Olsen, A. Pitarka, S. Song, and E. Templeton,
<u>The SCEC/USGS Dynamic Earthquake-Rupture Code Verification Exercise</u>, Seismological Research Letters, vol. 80, 119-126, 2009.

### links available on our website http://scecdata.usc.edu/cvws

#### **SCEC Rupture Dynamics Code Validation Workshop**

S

SC/EC

≈USGS

Wednesday March 1, 2017 SCEC, Los Angeles, CA

10:00	Introduction to the workshop	Ruth Harris
10:20	Unraveling earthquake dynamics with SeisSol:	Betsy Madden
	Megathrust ruptures, off-fault plasticity and rough faults	
10:50	Meet a newly updated code - PyLith	Brad Aagaard
11:20	Parkfield Model 1	Arben Pitarka
11:50	Parkfield Model 2	Shuo Ma
12:20	Lunch	
13:30	Parkfield benchmark results	Michael Barall
14:15	Seismogenic zone depth control on the likelihood of	Kangchen Bai
	fault stepover jumps	
14:45	Break	
15:15	Complex rupture process during the 2016 M7.8	Yoshi Kaneko
	Kaikoura (New Zealand) earthquake	
15:45	Group Discussion: code validation	All
17:30	Adjourn	



### Plans for the rest of this year (SCEC2017 Hopefully Funded Proposal)

### \*Spontaneous Rupture Code Validation