SC∥_EC

The Updated SCEC CFM-v5.2 3D Fault Set for 2018

Southern California Earthquake Center



SC//EC

Hierarchical Organizational Scheme for CFM Fault Systems

Southern California Earthquake Center

San Jacinto Fault System



Includes: Glen Helen, San Jacinto, Lytle Creek, Claremont, Loma Linda, Rialto-Colton, Casa Loma, Hot Springs, Clark, Buck Ridge, Coyote Creek, Superstition Hills, Superstition Mountain, Dixieland, Weinert-El Centro and West Mesa faults

Southern Frontal Fault System



Includes: Raymond, Hollywood, Santa Monica, North Salt Lake, Malibu Coast, Santa Cruz Island, and Santa Rosa Island faults

SC//EC

Southern California Earthquake Center

How is CFM 3D Fault Geometry Determined?

Step 1: Integration of industry well, seismic reflection and correlated subsurface horizons

Example: North Channel-Pitas Point-Red Mountain fault system, Santa Barbara-Ventura Area

Industry well data and subsurface structure maps & cross sections

Industry 2D & 3D seismic reflection data and dated reference horizons

Initial upper crustal (top 5-6 km) 3D fault & fold geometry



How is CFM 3D Fault Geometry Determined?

Southern California Earthquake Center

Step 2: Extending 3D fault geometry to seismogenic depths (earthquakes vs 2D fold models)

Example: North Channel-Pitas Point-Red Mountain fault system, Santa Barbara-Ventura Area



• 3D fault surfaces mapped in two-way travel-time converted to depth

SC//EC

- 1981-2011 Hauksson et.al. catalog hypocenter relocations
- Faults extended down-dip based on hypocentral and dominant focal mechanism nodal plane alignments
- Distinctive clustered concentration of hypocenters where major Pitas Point & Red Mountain faults intersect
- Alternate ramp-flat-ramp geometry [Hubbard et al., 2014] is based on 2D fault-related fold model projected along strike with dip, depth & location of lower ramp-flat strictly model dependent



Southern California Earthquake Center

How is CFM 3D Fault Geometry Validated?

Compare expected rupture model consistency with PBR preservation

Compare with independent relocated 1978 M5.9 Santa Barbara & 2013 M4.8 Isla Vista hypocenters not previously used in either model construction



SC//EC

How Complex Does CFM 3D Fault Geometry Need to Be?

Southern California Earthquake Center

Example: 2010 M7 El Mayor-Cucapah sequence, Sierra Cucapah and Laguna Salada faults

- El Mayor-Cucapah normal fault
- Sierra Cucapah & Indiviso faults
- Cerro Centinela & East Splay detachment faults
- Yuha Desert & other cross faults

Importance of active cross faults, detachments, and adjacent sub-parallel fault systems to understanding & modeling complex dynamic earthquake rupture





Map view looking NE of the southern San Andreas fault and the adjacent, sub-parallel, NE-dipping Mecca Hills-Hidden Springs fault system.

Distinctly different sets of earthquakes define both a near-vertical southern San Andreas fault (orange events) and a sub-parallel, moderately dipping, adjacent Mecca Hills-Hidden Springs fault system (yellow events).

These distinct populations are reflected in the alignment of focal mechanism nodal planes.

In the Mecca Hills, reflected refractions along SSIP Line 4 image steep & dipping portions of the Mecca Hills-Hidden Springs fault system.

SSIP Line 4 reflections do not image the San Andreas fault where it is known to be nearvertical in the upper 4 km, or at deeper depth.



OBSERVATIONS

- Faults with similar 3D fault geometry, style of deformation & potential to be linked at depth can be organized into higher-level CFM fault systems. CFM-v5.2 3D fault set now contains nearly 400 individual faults organize into 105 fault systems.
- CFM uses available industry well data, structure maps & cross sections, 2D & 3D seismic reflection data, and correlated dated reference horizons to map 3D fault and fold structure in the upper crust.
- Alignments of relocated hypocenters & focal mechanism nodal planes can be used to extend 3D fault geometry deeper. Alternatively, ramp-flat fault surfaces can be developed based on 2D fault-related fold models projected along strike, but different 2D fold models will yield different fault geometry at depth.
- Recent NZ and CA earthquakes demonstrate that earthquake ruptures can be quite complex, and involve secondary cross faults, detachments, and adjacent, sub-parallel fault systems.
- There are still on-going debates about the 3D geometry of major faults like the Pitas Point-Red Mountain fault, or the San Andreas fault in the Coachella Valley, San Gorgonio Pass, and Cajon Pass.
- Strike-slip faults in southern CA are often multi-stranded -- with fault strands merging at depth, diverging with depth, or remaining closely spaced (2-3 km) & sub-parallel to depths over 12-16 km.